

ERO Enterprise Registered Entity Post Audit Feedback

We appreciate the time and effort your company has expended in preparation for and support of the recent Compliance Audit¹ (Audit) of your organization. We are always interested in improving our process and would appreciate your feedback. The Electric Reliability Organization (ERO) Enterprise takes this feedback seriously, and every feedback survey will be reviewed to help assess the performance of the ERO Enterprise in compliance monitoring activities. Please feel free to privately discuss your feedback with NERC Compliance Staff (complianceoversight@nerc.net) or Regional Entity Compliance Management. Thank you for your time and effort.

In responding to the survey, please note the following:

- 1. All responses must be submitted through the <u>online survey tool</u>. This Word version is provided to show a complete view of the survey and to assist with compiling responses, if needed.
- 2. Questions 1-4 are mandatory and you will not be able to move ahead unless completed.
- 3. Questions 5-15 are general questions intended to gain feedback to improve ERO Enterprise processes.
- 4. Questions 16-19 apply to participants of the Multi-Region Registered Entity (MRRE) Coordinated Oversight Program.
- 5. All data will be sent to and analyzed by NERC.
- 6. Where possible, please provide specific and detailed examples to include quantifiable data of any issues raised or suggested enhancements.

Please feel free to provide additional comments and suggestions in the open text sections, especially if "Needs Improvement" or "Exceeds Expectation" is selected. The survey takes approximately 15 minutes to complete.

Compliance Audit Information

1.	Registered	l Entitv	Information:

Registered Entity Name	
or MRRE Group Name:	
Registered Entity NCR # or	
MRRE Group #:	
Submitter Name:	

¹This survey also applies to source feedback on Spot Checks conducted by the Regional Entities.



Title:						
Audit Team	ո Lead։					
2. Audit Sta	art Date: Click	here to enter a date.				
3. Regiona	l Entity or Lead	Region for MRRE: Choose	e an item.			
4. Audit Sc	ope: Choose a	n item.				
Pre-Audit (Audit Plannin	g Stage)				
Power S	ystem informe	gional Entity communicated the Audit scope? If "Need nent as to what could have	eds Improvement" or "Bel	ow Expectations" is		
Exceeds E	xpectations	Meets Expectations	Below Expectations	Needs Improvement		
Additional	Comments an	d Suggestions:				
C Did		an late week Control Finding	+: (ICE\2			
6. Did you	Yes	an Internal Control Evalua	tion (ICE)?			
	□ No					
If no. briefl		and skip to question 8:				
	, exp	and omp to quotien or				
-	internal contro		•	afford you an opportunity to associated with identified		
Exceeds E	xpectations	Meets Expectations	Below Expectations	Needs Improvement		
Additional	Comments an	d Suggestions:	,			
objective	es, Requests F	t notification package conv or Information or Data Red ight to object to Audit Tea	quest, submittal of Reliabi			
Exceeds E	xpectations	Meets Expectations	Below Expectations	Needs Improvement		



Additional Comments and Suggestions:						
9. How clearly did the Audit Team Lead(s) communicate the Audit expectations including, but not limited to, logistics, conduct of interviews, Request For Information or Data Request process?						
Exceeds Expectations	Meets	s Expectations	Below Expecta	tions	Needs I	mprovement
Additional Comments and	d Suggest	tions:				
Audit Implementation (F	ieldwork	Stage)				
10. The Audit Team:						
		Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations		elow ectations	Needs Improvement
Performed their duties in professional and impartia demeanor.	-					
Demonstrated a high level of knowledge and expertise around the risk and associated Reliability Standards in scope for this Audit						
Composition lead to an end effective audit.	fficient					
Additional Comments and	d Suggest	tions:				
11. The Audit Team Lead(s):	Type e de	Masta	l n	selow	Noodo
		Exceeds Expectations	Meets			Needs
Effectively managed the schedule, provided flexible accommodate your organization, and provided prompt and timely communication.			Expectations	Expe	ectations	Improvement
Provided prompt and timely communication regarding Data Requests and the sufficiency of						



time to deliver the requested			
data.			
Communicated the Audit			
progress and current status of			
findings during scheduled			
debriefs.			
Additional Comments and Sugges	tions:		

Post-Audit (Reporting Stage)

12. The Audit Team:

	Exceeds Expectations	Meets Expectations	Below Expectations	Needs Improvement
Communicated the Audit findings and the basis for the findings during the closing presentation.				
Communicated the timeline for development of the Audit Report and, if Potential Noncompliance was identified, the right to due process.				
Notified your organization about Areas of Concern and how the Areas of Concern could lead to a violation. (Leave blank if N/A)				
Provided details around Areas of Concern that provided an understanding of risk. (Leave blank if N/A)				
Communicated formal Recommendations for improving your organization's processes and procedures, internal controls, etc. (Leave blank if N/A)				
Did your organization gain awareness that could potentially be seen as a benefit from audit activities? (Leave blank if N/A)				



Addi	tional (Comments and Suggestions:
	re there	e opportunities for improvement noted by your organization for either the Audit team or occess?
		Yes
		No
Addi	tional (Comments and Suggestions:
	-	rovide details regarding the Regional Entity staff overall performance that you believe are the characters that you believe are they, commendable, innovative, or may be considered a best practice.
Addi	tional (Comments and Suggestions:
pr	ovide o	what value has risk-based compliance monitoring brought to your organization? Please comments or suggestions on how tools, processes can be improved as well as comments or ons regarding outreach and/or training that have not been previously addressed.
Addi	itional (Comments and Suggestions:
	Ţ	on Registered Entity Coordinated Oversight Program (Program) on if your organization does not participate in the Program.
16. Pl	ease sp	ecify Lead Regional Entity and Affected Regional Entity(ies).
L	RE:	
AR	E(s):	
17. O	verall, d	does your company's Audit experience support continued participation in the Program?
	Yes	
	No	
Addi	tional (Comments and Suggestions:
18. Di	id your	company experience increased efficiencies during the Audit due to inclusion in the Program?
	Yes	



	No			
Additional Comments and Suggestions:				
	ease provide comments on successes or areas for improvement (i.e. tools, processes, or ansparency) identified due to participation in the Program.			
Addi	itional Comments and Suggestions:			